Page 2—The Honorable Bill Johnson

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely,



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Adam Kinzinger U.S. House of Representatives 1221 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kinzinger:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Adam Kinzinger

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely,

to Mal-



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Leonard Lance U.S. House of Representatives 133 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lance:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Leonard Lance

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely.



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Bob Latta U.S. House of Representatives 2448 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Latta:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Bob Latta

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely,



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Billy Long U.S. House of Representatives 1541 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Long:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Billy Long

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely,



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Pete Olson U.S. House of Representatives 312 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Olson:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Pete Olson

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely,



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Joe Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
420 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pitts:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Joe Pitts

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely.



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Mike Pompeo U.S. House of Representatives 107 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pompeo:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Mike Pompeo

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely.



August 5, 2015

The Honorable Greg Walden U.S. House of Representatives 2182 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Walden:

Thank you for your letter in support of the Wireless Medical Telemetry Coalition's request that the Commission postpone consideration of a draft order at the August 6 Open Meeting.

I understand and share your concerns that Wireless Medical Telemetry Service (WMTS) continue to provide for critical patient monitoring without harmful interference. In recognition of these shared concerns, I have consistently stated that we will continue to provide for protected operation of these services in Channel 37. As you are aware, the Spectrum Act permitted the relocation of WMTS and radio astronomy services from Channel 37. After significant analysis the Commission determined, however, that these important services could remain in Channel 37 without compromising the goals of the Spectrum Act.

The Commission staff proposes, and I support, a number of strong protections for WMTS that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. In all cases, white space device operators have the obligation to protect WMTS systems from harmful interference and eliminate such interference if it should occur. I am confident that the proposal provides many of the protections sought by the WMTS Coalition and provides a framework for continuing discussions between the stakeholders.

First, the separation distances are more conservative than originally proposed by the Commission in the fall. In fact, the testing submitted by the WMTS Coalition in July shows no interference to WMTS at distances ranging from 303 meters to 341 meters, well within the 380 meter separation distance recommended by the staff.

Second, should the default protection distances be too small or large for any particular facility, the staff proposes a process for the medical facility and white space device operator to agree on parameters that best suit a particular facility.

Page 2—The Honorable Greg Walden

Finally, as requested by the WMTS Coalition, the staff proposal provides a process for a medical facility to immediately restrict nearby unlicensed use of Channel 37 if harmful interference occurs.

I am encouraged that the parties are continuing to discuss options for sharing this spectrum and I look forward to any proposals the parties may bring to the Commission. We must move forward, however, with the provisions in the item that will be considered at the August 6 meeting. It is important that we provide as much certainty as possible for the parties that will be operating in and next to Channel 37 to avoid delaying the incentive auction.

Sincerely.